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| work product:  Emanuel Pimentel  Version | Revision method:  Inspection |
| TOTAL EFFORT:  ??  # minor defects:  33  # major defects:  10 | MEETING DURATION:  90’  follow-up effort:  ?? |
|  |  |
| |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | name | role | preparation effort | | João Girão | Author | Hours | | Mário Oliveira | Co-Author | Hours | | **carlos Tomás** | Moderator | Hours | | **Miguel Monteiro** | Reader | Hours | | Valter Domingues | Reader | Hours | | Emanuel Pimentel | Annotator | Hours | | Cátia Simões | Reviewer | Hours | | Diogo Parreira | Reviewer | Hours |   DEFECT LIST:   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Check | # | localization | description | severity | |  | 00 | Line X  Page Y | Defect description | Minor/major | | V | 1 | Line --  Page – (Cover) | "Projeto Software":  The expression must be written according the old orthographic agreement  The expression must be written with the preposition "de"  "2012/2013": this expression must be written too | Minor | | V | 2 | Line: --  Page: iv | At "Revision History", the version (0.2) appears repeated (twice). | Minor | | X | 3 | Line: 9  Page: 1 | The paragraph is ambiguous | Minor | | V | 4 | Line: 18  Page: 1 | The features numbering is inconsistent | Minor | | V | 5 | Line: 19  Page: 1 | The feature sentence is inconsistent | Major | | V | 6 | Line:  Page: 2 | The use case 9 and 10 don't exist | Minor | | X | 7 | Line: --  Page: -- | In most use cases, the introduction is redundant with basic path (for example), both express the same idea | Minor | | V | 8 | Line: 51  Page:3 | (According the authors this use case is signaled to modify) | Minor | | V | 9 | Line: 70  Page: 4 | According the mockup, it is possible to edit tasks by two ways, however, that information doesn't appear in the use case | Major | | V | 10 | Line: 76  Page: 4 | The error message isn't described on the document. That information is missing | Major | | V | 11 | Line: 80  Page: 4 | Tasks must be task | Minor | | V | 12 | Line: 86  Page: 4 | The UC flux be incomplete, don't have a confirmation message | Major | | V | 13 | Line: 86  Page: 4 | The mock up associated to this UC is ambiguous, according the author the X is for close the window, the reviewers thought was to delete tasks | Minor | | V | 14 | Line: 119  Page: 6 | Predefined (words) don't match | Minor | | V | 15 | Line: 126  Page: 6 | The alternative must have numbering | Minor | | V | 16 | Line: 141  Page: 6 | Where we read "me" must be read "be" | Minor | | V | 17 | Line: 141  Page: 6 | The states Activate a Deactivated must be described for better understanding | Minor | | V | 18 | Line: 148  Page: 7 | The pre-condition must be written differently, to edit a task, the user only could have a single task. | Minor | | V | 19 | Line: 157  Page: 7 | The flux is incomplete don't refers to what happens when the user selects an option | Major | | V | 20 | Line: 161  Page: 7 | The flux numbering is missing | Minor | | V | 21 | Line: 165  Page: 7 | The pre-condition is missing (UC 8) | Major | | V | 22 | Line: 189  Page: 8 | The time unit is missing | Minor | | V | 23 | Line:  Page: | The UC numbering is missing | Minor | | V | 24 | Line: 211  Page: 9 | Exceptions are missing | Minor | | V | 25 | Line:  Page: | The mockups don't have page number | Minor | | V | 26 | Line:  Page: | The mockup select box filling isn't clear (UC13) | Minor | | V | 27 | Line: 211  Page: 10 | Missing some flux on basic path. Doesn't specify how the data is selected (missing alternative flux) | Minor | | V | 28 | Line: 237  Page: 10 | The text have some errors | Minor | | V | 29 | Line:  Page: | (UC 16) Isn't asking the user the path where he want to save the file | Major | | V | 30 | Line: 279  Page: 12 | Autocomplet -> autocomplete | Minor | | V | 31 | Line: 281  Page: 12 | Tasks names -> task name | Minor | | X | 32 | Line: 286  Page: 12 | Fields name | Minor | | X | 33 | Line: 342  Page: 15 | In some places is used "discard" on other places the text is "ignore". this must be normalized | Minor | | V | 34 | Line: 349  Page: 15 | The inactive time calculation way is ambiguous | Major | | V | 35 | Line: 357  Page: 15 | Validate -> uppercase vs lowercase | Minor | | V | 36 | Line: 354  Page: 15 | The inactive time value is inconsistent with the dictionary values | Major | | V | 37 | Line: 379  Page: 16 | Lowercase vs uppercase (on various places) | Minor | | V | 38 | Line: 399  Page: 17 | Font doesn't match | Minor | | V | 39 | Line: 429  Page:18 | it's a little messy, the reviewers don't really understand how the sorting it's done | Minor | | V | 40 | Line: 441  Page: 18 | Font format issue | Minor | | V | 41 | Line: 494  Page: 20 | The properties aren't ordered correctly | Minor | | V | 42 | Line:  Page: | Date/Time format isn't defined on the dictionary | Major | | X | 43 | Line:  Page: | This specification only support 99 hours tasks... what happens if the tasks time exceeds 99 hours? | Minor | | |

3 – The chapter 2 is a section where the application is generically described.

7- This change is not justified.

32 – The expression is well written.

33 – The word wasn’t found.

43 – This value is calculated, so it isn’t saved in database.